arXiv:1603.00510v1 [math.AG] 1 Mar 2016

On Plücker Equations Characterizing Grassmann Cones

Letterio Gatto, Parham Salehyan

Abstract

Polynomial solutions to the KP hierarchy are known to be parametrized by a cone over an infinite-dimensional Grassmann variety. Using the notion of *Schubert derivations* on a Grassmann algebra, we encode the classical Plücker equations of Grassmannians of $\mathtt{r}$-dimensional subspaces in a formula whose limit for $\mathtt{r} \to \infty$ coincides with the KP hierarchy phrased in terms of vertex operators.

Contents

Introduction 1

1 Preliminaries and Notation 4

2 Hasse-Schmidt Derivations on an Exterior Algebra 5

3 Schubert Derivations on $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ 7

4 Proof of Theorem 0.1 9

5 The Grassmann Cone in a Polynomial Ring 10

6 An Example 14

7 The KP Hierarchy 16

References 19

Introduction

The purpose of this article is to advertise the notion of Schubert derivation on an exterior algebra introduced in [10] by showing that it provides another point of view to look at the quadratic equations describing the Plücker embedding of Grassmannians – a very classical and widely studied subject. In particular, it allows i) to "discover" the vertex operators generating the fermionic vertex superalgebra (in the sense of [8, Section 5.3]; ii) to compute their bosonic expressions as in [19, Lecture 5]; iii) to interpret them in terms of Schubert derivations and iv) to provide an almost effortless deduction of the celebrated Hirota bilinear form of the KP hierarchy [19]. If $\mathtt{B}$ denotes the polynomial ring in infinitely many indeterminates $\mathbb{Q}[\mathtt{x}_1, \mathtt{x}_2, \ldots]$, recall that an element $\tau \in \mathtt{B}$ is said to be a *tau*-function for the KP-hierarchy (after Kadomtsev and Petviashvilii) if

$$\mathrm{Res}_z \Gamma^*(z)\tau \otimes \Gamma(z)\tau = 0, \tag{1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 15A75, 14M15, 17B69.

where z is a formal variable, Res_z denotes the coefficient of z^{-1} of a Laurent series and $\Gamma(z), \Gamma^*(z) : B \to B((z))$ are the "bosonic vertex operators":

$$\Gamma(z) := \exp(\sum_{i\geqslant 1} x_i z^i) \exp\left(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{iz^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) \text{ and } \Gamma^*(z) := \exp(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1} x_i z^i) \exp\left(\sum_{i\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{iz^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right). \quad (2)$$

It is a fundamental observation, due to Sato [28, 29] and widely developed by his Kyoto school [5, 6, 17], that (1) encodes the Plücker equations of the cone of decomposable elements of a semi-infinite exterior power of an infinite–dimensional vector space. This fact is mentioned and/or explained in a number of different ways, e.g. in [2, 3, 7, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27, 30] and surely in many more references. From our part we shall write the equations characterizing Grassmann cones of decomposable tensors in r-the exterior powers, for all $r \geqslant 1$, in such a way to recover expression (1) as a limit for $r \to \infty$.

To this purpose, let M_0 be a free abelian group of infinite countable rank with a basis $\mathfrak{B}_0 := (b_0, b_1, \ldots)$ and let $(\beta_j)_{j\geqslant 0}$ be the basis of the restricted dual M_0^* such that $\beta_j(b_i) = \delta_{ij}$. Let $\bigwedge^r M_0$ be the r-th exterior power of M_0. The Grassmann cone $\mathcal{G}_r$ of $\bigwedge^r M_0$ is the image of the (non-surjective) multilinear alternating map $M_0^r \to \bigwedge^r M_0$, given by $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_r$.

It is well-known, see e.g. [3, Section 4], that $\mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge^r M_0$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_r$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i\geqslant 0} (\beta_i \lrcorner \mathbf{m}) \otimes (b_i \wedge \mathbf{m}) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\beta_j \lrcorner \mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge^{r-1} M_0$ denotes the contraction of $\mathbf{m}$ against β_j (Section 1.3). Equation (3) is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Res}_{z=0}\Big(\sum_{i\geqslant 0} (\beta_j z^{-i-1} \lrcorner \mathbf{m})\Big) \otimes \sum_{i\geqslant 0} (b_j z^j \wedge \mathbf{m}\Big) = 0 \quad (4)$$

a trick we learned in [19, Section 7.3]. We combine (4) with the following observation: there are unique formal power series $\sigma_\pm(z) := \sum_{i\geqslant 0} \sigma_{\pm i} z^{\pm i} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigwedge M_0)[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_\pm(z)(\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathbf{m}_2) &= \sigma_\pm(z)\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \sigma_\pm(z)\mathbf{m}_2, \quad (\forall \mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2 \in \bigwedge M_0) \\ \sigma_{\pm i} b_j &= b_{j\pm i}, \end{cases}$$

with the convention that $b_k = 0$ if $k < 0$. Denote by $\overline{\sigma}_\pm(z) := \sum_{i\geqslant 0} \overline{\sigma}_{\pm i} z^{\pm i}$ the inverse in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigwedge M_0)[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ of $\sigma_\pm(z)$.

The main result of this paper is:

0.1 Theorem. *Let $\mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge^r M_0$. Then $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{G}_r$ if and only if the following equality:*

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Res}_z \left[\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0 \lrcorner \sigma_-(z)\mathbf{m}) \otimes \sigma_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_r \mathbf{m})\right] = 0}$$

holds in $\bigwedge^{r-1} M_0 \otimes \bigwedge^{r+1} M_0$, where $\beta_0 \lrcorner \mathbf{m}$ denotes the contraction of $\mathbf{m}$ against β_0 (see 1.3).

Following [10], we have called *Schubert derivations* the maps $\sigma_\pm(z)$ as well as their formal inverses. The reasons are that i) they are derivations of $\bigwedge M_0$ in the sense of Hasse and Schmidt (see [10, 15]) and ii) they satisfy some Pieri and Giambelli formulas (Section 3.1). Therefore the exterior power $\bigwedge^r \mathbb{C}^n$ can be regarded as an irreducible module over the cohomology ring $H^*(G(r,n),\mathbb{Z})$ of the Grassmannian of r-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ ([10, 11]), which in turn implies the identification $H^*(G(r,n),\mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigwedge^r H^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. The latter is also known in the literature as *Satake isomorphism* [9, 16]. The reason why Theorem 0.1 is interesting is that its proof is cheap and easily returns the equation of the KP hierarchy by letting r tending to ∞.

To state a relevant consequence of Theorem 0.1 above, we need to introduce a few more pieces of notation. Let $\mathcal{P}_r := \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \,|\, \lambda_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_r\}$ be the set of all *partitions of length at most* r and $[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} := \mathsf{b}_{\lambda_r} \wedge \mathsf{b}_{1+\lambda_{r-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{b}_{r-1+\lambda_1}$. Then $\bigwedge^r \mathfrak{B}_0 := ([\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\bigwedge^r M_0$, i.e. each $\mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge^r M_0$ can be uniquely written as a finite linear combination of the form $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} [\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Moreover, let $B_r := \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_r]$ be the polynomial ring in the r indeterminates $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ and $E_r(z) := 1 - e_1 z + \cdots + (-1)^r e_r z^r \in B_r[z]$. Construct a sequence $H_r := (h_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of elements of B_r via the equality

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} h_i z^i := \sum_{n \geqslant 0} (1 - E_r(z))^n$$

understood in the ring of formal Laurent series $B_r[[z^{-1}, z]]$. By construction, $h_j = 0$ if $j < 0$, $h_0 = 1$ and, for $i > 0$, h_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i in $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ provided that, for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, each e_j is given weight j. The Schur determinant associated to the sequence H_r and to $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r$ is by definition

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) := \det(h_{\lambda_j - j + i})_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r} \in B_r.$$

Using the well–known fact that $B_r = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)$, the map $\phi_r : B_r \to \bigwedge^r M_0$ given by $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) \mapsto [\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ defines an isomorphism of abelian groups. It enables to equip $\bigwedge^r M_0$ with a structure of free B_r-module of rank 1, generated by $[\mathbf{b}]^r_0$, that we shall denote by $\bigwedge^r M_r$. We regard $\sigma_-(z), \overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ as maps $B_r \to B_r[z^{-1}]$ as well, by defining $\sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) = \phi_r^{-1}(\sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$ and $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) = \phi_r^{-1}(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$. Then Theorem 0.1 admits the following rephrasing.

0.2 Theorem. *The element* $\mathbf{m} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} [\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in \bigwedge^r M_0$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{G}_r$ *if and only if the equality below holds in* $B_{r-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B_{r+1}$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Res}_z \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_r} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} E_{r-1}(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H_{r-1}) \otimes \frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)} \overline{\sigma}_-(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_{r+1}) = 0.} \tag{5}$$

For example, for $r = 2$, one recovers the Klein's quadric cutting out the Grassmannian $G(2, 4)$ in its Plücker embedding (Section 6). Other examples are discussed in the forthcoming [15] (see also [12]). They all indicate that even for detecting the Grassmann cone $\mathcal{G}_2$, computations are quite painful, surely not as easy as checking the simpler condition $\mathbf{m} \wedge \mathbf{m} = 0$. What makes Theorem 0.2 interesting, however, is that, on one hand, the maps $\Gamma_r(z) : B_r \to B_{r+1}((z))$ and $\Gamma_r^*(z) : B_r \to B_{r-1}((z))$, defined by

$$\Gamma_r(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) := \frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)} \overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_r^*(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) := E_{r-1}(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H_{r-1})$$

and occurring in formula (5), are precisely truncated versions of the vertex operators displayed in (2) and, on the other hand, the Schubert derivations $\sigma_-(z)$ and $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ are well defined also for $r = \infty$.

More precisely, let $(e_1, e_2, \ldots)$ be a sequence of infinitely many indeterminates and $E_\infty(z) := \sum_{i \geqslant 0} (-1)^i e_i z^i$. For all $p \in B_\infty$ there is $r \geqslant 0$ such that $p \in B_s$ for all $s \geqslant r$. We say that p corresponds to an element of the Grassmann cone $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ if $\phi_s(p) \in \mathcal{G}_s$ for all $s \geqslant r$. We have:

0.3 Corollary. *An element* $p \in B_\infty := \mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \ldots]$ *corresponds to an element of the Grassmann cone* $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ *if and only if*

$$\mathrm{Res}_z \left(E_\infty(z)\sigma_-(z)p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{E_\infty(z)} \overline{\sigma}_-(z)p \right) = 0. \tag{6}$$

By abuse of notation, let us write $\mathcal{G}_r \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for the Grassmann cone of decomposable tensors of $\bigwedge^r(M_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be the sequence of indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$, implicitly defined by the equality:

$$\exp(-\sum_{i \geqslant 1} x_i z^i) := E_\infty(z).$$

An immediate check shows that $B := B_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$. As before, for each $p \in B$ there is $r \geqslant 0$ such that $p \in B_s \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ for all $s \geqslant r$. Then we shall say that τ corresponds to an element of $\mathcal{G}_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ if there is $r \geqslant 0$ such that $\phi_s(\tau) \in \bigwedge^s(M_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ for all $s \geqslant r$.

0.4 Corollary. *A polynomial $\tau \in B$ corresponds to an element of the Grassmann cone $\mathcal{G}_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if (1) holds.*

It follows that equation (6) expresses the KP hierarchy (1) over the integers. Notice that it has been obtained by using the indeterminates e_i and h_j (that may be interpreted as elementary and complete symmetric polynomials). The latter reveal often more convenient than the variables (x_i), as remarked also in the couple of important and relatively recent articles [13, 18].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 sets the preliminaries and notation used throughout the paper. Section 2 recalls a few facts concerning Hasse-Schmidt (HS) derivations on exterior algebras as introduced in [10] and treated in more details in [15]. The section proclaims the most powerful tool of the theory which we call (as in [10]) *integration by parts formula*. Moreover, that the transpose of an HS-derivation is an HS-derivation as well is also proven, a fact heavily used in Section 4 to prove Theorem 0.1. Schubert derivations are studied in Section 3, where a few technical lemmas leading to the approximation $B_r \to B_r((z))$ of the vertex operators are discussed. A pivotal aspect of Section 5 is that the Schubert derivations $\sigma_-(z)$ and its inverse $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ enjoy a stability property enabling to define them as maps $B_r \to B_r[z^{-1}]$. Their limit for $r \to \infty$ gives rise to the ring homomorphisms $B \to B[z^{-1}]$ which enter in the expression of the vertex operators. The crucial property that $\sigma_-(z), \overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ commute with taking Schur determinants, proven in Section 5, is obtained by exploiting a powerful determinantal formula due to Laksov and Thorup [22]. Section 6 is entirely devoted to the standard example of decomposable tensors in a second wedge power faced via Theorem 0.2. Eventually, Section 7 is concerned with the limit of formula (5) for $r \to \infty$, where we (re)show that *tau*-functions for the KP-hierarchy corresponds to decomposable tensors in an infinite exterior power.

1 Preliminaries and Notation

This section is to fix notation and to list the pre-requisites we shall need in the sequel.

1.1 A partition is a monotonic non increasing sequence $\boldsymbol{\lambda} := (\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \cdots)$ of non negative integers all zero but finitely many. The *length* $\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ of a partition is $\sharp\{i \,|\, \lambda_i \neq 0\}$, the number of its non-zero parts; its *weight* $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| := \sum_{i \geqslant 1} \lambda_i$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{P}_r$ the set of all partitions of lenght at most r and by $\mathcal{P}_{r,n} := \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathcal{P}_r \,|\, \lambda_1 \leqslant n - r\}$ the elements of $\mathcal{P}_r$ bounded by $n - r$. The set $\mathcal{P}_r$ is a monoid with respect to the sum $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\lambda_i + \mu_i)_{i \geqslant 1}$ whose neutral element is the null partition (0) with all the parts equal to zero. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_r$, we shall write $\boldsymbol{\mu} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ if the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is contained in the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

1.2 We shall denote by M_0 the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and by $\mathfrak{B}_0 := (b_0, b_1, \dots)$ its standard basis $(1, X, X^2, \dots)$. Let $\bigwedge M_0 := \bigoplus_{r \geqslant 0} \bigwedge^r M_0$ be the exterior algebra of M_0. Then $\bigwedge^0 M_0 := \mathbb{Z}$ and, for all $r \geqslant 1$, the r-th exterior power of M_0 is the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear span of $\bigwedge^r \mathfrak{B}_0 := ([\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r)$, where $[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} := b_{\lambda_r} \wedge b_{1+\lambda_{r-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r-1+\lambda_1}$. In particular $[\mathbf{b}]^r_0 := [\mathbf{b}]^r_{(0)} = b_0 \wedge b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r-1}$.

1.3 Let $\mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge^r M_0$, $r \geqslant 1$. Its contraction, $\beta \lrcorner \mathbf{m}$, against $\beta \in M_0^*$ is the unique element of $\bigwedge^{r-1} M_0$ such that

$$\gamma(\beta \lrcorner \mathbf{m}) = (\beta \wedge \gamma)(\mathbf{m}), \qquad \forall \gamma \in \bigwedge^{r-1} M_0^*. \tag{7}$$

It turns out that $\beta\lrcorner : (\bigwedge M_0, \wedge) \to (\bigwedge M_0, \wedge)$ is the unique derivation of degree -1 such that $\beta\lrcorner m = \beta(m)$, for all $m \in M_0$.

1.4 Let $B_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and for $r > 1$ denote by B_r the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_r]$. Accordingly, we let $E_0(z) = 1$ and $E_r(z) = 1 - e_1 z + \cdots + (-1)^r e_r z^r \in B_r[z]$ for $r > 1$. The equality

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_n z^n = \frac{1}{E_r(z)} = \sum_{i\geqslant 0} (1 - E_r(z))^i$$

read in the abelian group of formal Laurent series $B_r[[z^{-1}, z]]$ defines the bilateral sequence $H_r := (h_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of elements of B_r. By construction, then, $h_j = 0$ if $j < 0$, $h_0 = 1$ and for all $j > 0$, h_j is a polynomial in $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ of weighted degree j, after declaring that e_i is given degree i. It is well known that (e.g. [24, p. 41])

$$B_r = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_r} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r),$$

where

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) := \det(h_{\lambda_j - j + i})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant r}.$$

1.5 Remark. For the terms of the sequence H_r, the more careful notation $h_{r,n}$ should be preferred, in place of just h_n, to keep track of their dependence on r. To make the notation less heavy we decided however to drop the subscript r, hoping for the context being sufficient to avoid confusions.

2 Hasse-Schmidt Derivations on an Exterior Algebra

2.1 Given any module M over a commutative ring A with unit, there is an obvious A-module isomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}_A(\bigwedge M)[[z]] \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, M[[z]]), \tag{8}$$

where $\mathrm{End}_A(\bigwedge M)[[z]]$ denotes the formal power series with $\mathrm{End}_A(\bigwedge M)$-coefficients in an indeterminate z. If $\mathcal{D}(z) \in \mathrm{End}_A(\bigwedge M)[[z]]$, we denote in the same way its image through the map (8).

2.2 Definition. *A Hasse–Schmidt* (HS) *derivation of* $\bigwedge M$ *is an algebra homomorphism* $\mathcal{D}(z) : \bigwedge M \to \bigwedge M[[z]]$, *i.e:*

$$\mathcal{D}(z)(\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathbf{m}_2) = \mathcal{D}(z)\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathcal{D}(z)\mathbf{m}_2, \quad \forall \mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2 \in \bigwedge M_0. \tag{9}$$

Define a sequence $\mathcal{D} := (D_0, D_1, \ldots)$ of endomorhisms of $\bigwedge M_0$ through the equality:

$$\sum_{j\geqslant 0} D_j\mathbf{m} \cdot z^j := \mathcal{D}(z)\mathbf{m}.$$

Then equation (9) holds if and only if the sequence $\mathcal{D}$ obeys the *higher order Leibniz rules*:

$$D_i(\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathbf{m}_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} D_i\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge D_{i-j}\mathbf{m}_2, \qquad i \geqslant 0. \tag{10}$$

In particular D_0 is an algebra homomorphism and D_1 is a (usual) derivation of the $\wedge$-algebra $\bigwedge M_0$. If D_0 is an automorphism of $\bigwedge M_0$, then the formal power series $\mathcal{D}(z)$ is invertible in $\mathrm{End}_A(\bigwedge M)[[z]]$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}(z)$ its inverse.

2.3 Proposition (see [10]). *The set* $\mathsf{HS}(\bigwedge \mathsf{M})$ *of all* HS*-derivations on* $\bigwedge \mathsf{M}$ *is a subalgebra of* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigwedge \mathsf{M})[[z]]$*, with respect to the product*

$$\mathcal{D}(z)\mathcal{E}(z) = \sum_{j\geqslant 0}\sum_{i=0}^{j}(\mathsf{D}_i \circ \mathsf{E}_{j-i})z^j,$$

where $\sum_{i\geqslant 0}\mathsf{D}_i z^i := \mathcal{D}(z)$ *and* $\sum_{i\geqslant 0}\mathsf{E}_i z^i := \mathcal{E}(z)$*. In particular if* D_0 *is an automorphism of* $\bigwedge \mathsf{M}$*, then the inverse formal power series* $\overline{\mathcal{D}}(z)$ *is an* HS*-derivation if and only if* $\mathcal{D}(z)$ *is.*

2.4 Proposition. *Let* $\mathsf{f}(z) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{M})[[z]]$*. There exists a unique* HS*-derivation* $\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z) : \bigwedge \mathsf{M} \to \bigwedge \mathsf{M}[[z]]$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathfrak{m} = \mathsf{f}(z)(\mathfrak{m})$$

for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathsf{M}$*.*

Proof. Let us first prove the existence. For all $r \geqslant 1$, consider the unique A-linear extension of the map $\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z) : \mathsf{M}^{\otimes r} \to \bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}[[z]]$ defined by

$$\widehat{\mathsf{f}}(z)(\mathfrak{m}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{m}_r) = \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_r.$$

This map is clearly alternating and thus it factorizes through a unique homomorphism $\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}_r(z) : \bigwedge^r \mathsf{M} \to \bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}[[z]]$ such that $\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}_r(z)(\mathfrak{m}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{m}_r) = \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_r$. All $\mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge \mathsf{M}$ is a finite sum $\mathbf{m}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{m}_s$ of homogeneous elements, i.e. $\mathbf{m}_i \in \bigwedge^i \mathsf{M}$ for some $i \geqslant 0$ (notice that $\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathsf{A}$). Define $\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathbf{m}$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}_i(z)\mathbf{m}_i$. We want to show that for $\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2 \in \bigwedge \mathsf{M}$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)(\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathbf{m}_2) = \mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathbf{m}_2.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume they are homogeneous with respect to the graduation of $\bigwedge \mathsf{M}$, i.e. $\mathbf{m}_1 = \mathfrak{m}_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_{1r}$ and $\mathbf{m}_2 := \mathfrak{m}_{21} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_{2s}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)(\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathbf{m}_2) &= \mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)(\mathfrak{m}_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_{1r} \wedge \mathfrak{m}_{21} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_{2s}) \\
&= \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{1r} \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{21} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{2s} = \\
&= (\mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{11} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{1r}) \wedge (\mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{21} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_{2s}) \\
&= \mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathbf{m}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove unicity, let $\widehat{\mathsf{D}}(z)$ be any HS-derivation on $\bigwedge \mathsf{M}$ such that $\widehat{\mathsf{D}}(z)\mathfrak{m} = \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathsf{M}$. Then for all homogeneous element $\mathbf{m} := \mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_r \in \bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathsf{D}}(z)\mathbf{m} &= \widehat{\mathsf{D}}(z)(\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_r) = \widehat{\mathsf{D}}(z)\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\mathsf{D}}(z)\mathfrak{m}_r \\
&= \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{f}(z)\mathfrak{m}_r = \mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)(\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_r) = \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{f}}(z)\mathbf{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

The main tool of the paper is the following observation for which we omit the totally obvious proof. It is responsible, in our context, of the emergence of the vertex operators.

2.5 Proposition (Integration by Parts). *Assume that* $\mathcal{D}(z) \in \mathsf{HS}(\bigwedge \mathsf{M})$ *is invertible in the sense of Proposition 2.3. Then the* integration by parts *formula holds:*

$$\big(\mathcal{D}(z)\mathbf{m}_1\big) \wedge \mathbf{m}_2 = \mathcal{D}(z)\big(\mathbf{m}_1 \wedge \overline{\mathcal{D}}(z)\mathbf{m}_2\big), \qquad \forall \mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2 \in \bigwedge \mathsf{M}. \tag{11}$$

■

2.6 Duality. Let now M_0 and $\mathfrak{B}_0$ as in Section 1. Let $\beta_j \in \mathsf{M}_0^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathsf{M}_0, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\beta_j(\mathfrak{b}_i) = \delta_{ij}$. The *restricted dual* of M_0 is $\mathsf{M}_0^* := \bigoplus_{j\geqslant 0}\mathbb{Z}\cdot\beta_j$. The equality

$$\mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_r(\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_r) := \det(\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}_j))_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant r},$$

defines a natural identification of $\bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}_0^*$ with $(\bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}_0)^*$. In particular

$$(\beta_{\lambda_r} \wedge \beta_{1+\lambda_{r-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{r-1+\lambda_1})_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_r}$$

is the basis of $(\bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}_0)^*$ dual of $\bigwedge^r \mathfrak{B}_0$, i.e. $\beta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{i_r}(\mathfrak{b}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{b}_{j_r}) = \delta_{i_1 j_1}\cdots\delta_{i_r j_r}$.

2.7 Definition. *The* transpose *of* $\mathcal{D}(z) \in \mathsf{HS}(\bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0)$ *is the module homomorphism* $\mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}} : \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0^* \to \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0^*[[z]]$*, defined by*

$$(\mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\eta})(\mathbf{m}) = \boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathcal{D}(z)\mathbf{m}), \qquad \forall (\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}) \in \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0^* \times \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0.$$

2.8 Proposition. *If* $\mathcal{D}(z) \in \mathsf{HS}(\bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0)$*, then* $\mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}$ *is an* HS*-derivation of* $\bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0$*.*

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\beta(\mathfrak{m}) = \beta(\mathcal{D}(z)\mathfrak{m})$ for all $\beta \in \mathsf{M}_0^*$. As each $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0^*$ is a sum of homogeneous components, without loss of generality we may assume $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}_0^*$, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\eta} := \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_r$ for some $\eta_i \in \mathsf{M}_0^*$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}(\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_r)(\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_r) &= \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_r\big(\mathcal{D}(z)(\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_r)\big) \\
&= \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_r(\mathcal{D}(z)\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{D}(z)\mathfrak{m}_r) \\
&= \det(\eta_i(\mathcal{D}(z)\mathfrak{m}_j)) = \det(\mathcal{D}(z)\eta_i(\mathfrak{m}_j)) = \\
&= \mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\eta_r(\mathfrak{m}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{m}_r).
\end{aligned}$$

The unique HS-derivation $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(z)$ on $\bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0^*$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(z)\eta = \mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\eta$ coincides with $\mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}}$ when evaluated on $\bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}_0^*$. Then it must coincide with it and $\mathcal{D}(z)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathsf{HS}(\bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0^*)$. ∎

3 Schubert Derivations on $\mathbb{Z}[X]$

3.1 With the same notation of 1, Prop. 2.4 guarantees the existence of unique HS-derivations

$$\sigma_+(z) := \sum_{i \geqslant 0} \sigma_i z^i : \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0 \to \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0[[z]] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\sigma}_+(z) = \sum_{i \geqslant 0} (-1)^i \overline{\sigma}_i z^i : \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0 \to \bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0[[z]]$$

such that $\sigma_+(z)\mathfrak{b}_i = \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \mathfrak{b}_{i+j} z^j$ and $\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{b}_i - \mathfrak{b}_{i+1} \cdot z$. In particular $\sigma_j \mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{b}_{i+j}$ and $\overline{\sigma}_j \mathfrak{b}_i = 0$ if $j \geqslant 2$. They are one the inverse of the other:

$$\sigma_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_+(z) = \overline{\sigma}_+(z)\sigma_+(z) = 1_{\bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0}.$$

We shall call them *Schubert derivations*, in compliance with the terminology introduced in [10, 11]. The motivation comes from following Pieri-like formula ([10, Theorem 2.4]):

$$\sigma_i[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} [\mathbf{b}]^r_{\mu}, \qquad (i \geqslant 0) \tag{12}$$

where the sum is taken over all the partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_r$ such that $\mu_1 \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \mu_r \geqslant \lambda_r$ and $|\boldsymbol{\mu}| = |\boldsymbol{\lambda}| + i$. In addition, a Giambelli-like formula holds ([10, Formula (17)] or [22, Theorem 0.1]): for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r$

$$[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_+)[\mathbf{b}]^r_0 := \det(\sigma_{\lambda_j - j + i})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant r} \cdot [\mathbf{b}]^r_0, \tag{13}$$

where by conventions $\sigma_j = 0$ if $j < 0$. If $\mathsf{M}_{0,n} := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{b}_j$, formula (12) tells us that $\bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}_{0,n}$ is an irreducible representation of the cohomology ring $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathsf{G}_r(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathbb{Z})$: the latter is in fact generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra by the special Schubert cycles $c_i(\mathcal{Q}_r)$, the i-th Chern classes of the universal quotient bundle over $\mathsf{G}_r(\mathbb{C}^n)$, traditionally denoted by σ_i. So the reason we are using the same notation, more than an abuse, is to emphasize that we are working essentially with the same objects, seeing $\bigwedge^r \mathsf{M}_0$ as a module over the cohomology (as in [4, p. 303]) of the Grassmannian $\mathsf{G}(r, \mathbb{C}^\infty)$.

3.2 We similarly define a sort of "mirror" of the Schubert derivation $\sigma_+(z)$, namely

$$\sigma_-(z) := \sum_{i \geqslant 0} \sigma_{-i} z^{-i} \in \mathsf{HS}(\bigwedge \mathsf{M}_0) \tag{14}$$

which, by definition, is the unique HS-derivation such that $\sigma_{-j}b_i = b_{i-j}$ if $i \geqslant j$ and 0 otherwise. Its inverse in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigwedge M_0)[[z^{-1}]]$,

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z) = \sum_{j\geqslant 0}(-1)^j\overline{\sigma}_{-j}z^{-j},$$

is the unique HS-derivation such that $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)b_i := b_i - b_{i-1}z^{-1}$ for all $i \geqslant 0$. In particular, for all $r \geqslant 0$:

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_0^r = [\mathbf{b}]_0^r. \tag{15}$$

We note in passing that for $j > 0$, both $\overline{\sigma}_{-j}$ and σ_{-j} are locally nilpotent, i.e. for all $\mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge M_0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\sigma_{-j})^N\mathbf{m} = 0$ (resp. $(\overline{\sigma}_{-j})^N\mathbf{m} = 0$).

3.3 Lemma. *For all $r \geqslant 1$, let (1^r) be the partition with r parts equal to one. Then for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r$ we have*

$$\overline{\sigma}_{\pm r}[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r = [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\pm(1^r)}^r.$$

Proof. Indeed $\overline{\sigma}_{\pm r}[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r$ is the coefficient of degree r of the expansion of

$$\overline{\sigma}_\pm(z)[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r = \overline{\sigma}_\pm(z)(b_{\lambda_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r-1+\lambda_1})$$

in powers of z. Since $\overline{\sigma}_\pm(z)$ is a HS-derivation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\sigma}_\pm(z)(b_{\lambda_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r-1+\lambda_1}) &= \overline{\sigma}_\pm(z)b_{\lambda_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{\sigma}_\pm(z)b_{r-1+\lambda_1}\\ &= (b_{\lambda_r} - b_{\lambda_r\pm1}z^{\pm1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (b_{r-1+\lambda_1} - b_{r-1+\lambda_1\pm1}z^{\pm1})\end{aligned}$$

and is so apparent that the coefficient of $z^{\pm r}$ is $[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\pm(1^r)}^r$, as desired. ∎

3.4 Lemma. *The following equality holds in $(\bigwedge^r M_0)[[z^{-1}]]$:*

$$\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\mathbf{m} = (-1)^r z^r \overline{\sigma}_-(z)\overline{\sigma}_r\mathbf{m}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\mathbf{m} = [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\sigma}_+(z)[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r &= \overline{\sigma}_+(z)(b_{\lambda_r} \wedge b_{1+\lambda_{r-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r-1+\lambda_1}) && \text{(definition of } [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r)\\
&= \overline{\sigma}_+(z)b_{\lambda_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{\sigma}_+(z)b_{r-1+\lambda_1} && (\overline{\sigma}_+(z) \in \mathrm{HS}(\textstyle\bigwedge M_0))\\
&= (b_{\lambda_r} - b_{\lambda_r+1}z) \wedge \cdots \wedge (b_{r-1+\lambda_1} - b_{r+\lambda_1}z) && \text{(definition of } \overline{\sigma}_-(z)b_j)\\
&= (-1)^r z^r\,[(b_{\lambda_r+1} - b_{\lambda_r}z^{-1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (b_{r+\lambda_1} - b_{r-1+\lambda_1}z^{-1})] && \text{(highlights } (-1)^r z^r)\\
&= (-1)^r z^r[\overline{\sigma}_-(z)b_{\lambda_r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{\sigma}_-(z)b_{r+\lambda_1}] && \text{(definition of } \overline{\sigma}_-(z))\\
&= (-1)^r z^r\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(b_{\lambda_r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r+\lambda_1}) && (\sigma_-(z) \in \mathrm{HS}(\textstyle\bigwedge M_0))\\
&= (-1)^r z^r\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\overline{\sigma}_r[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r && (3.3 \text{ applied to } \overline{\sigma}_r).
\end{aligned}$$ ∎

An analogous of Lemma 3.4 holds for $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ as well, up to an additional hypothesis.

3.5 Lemma. *Assume that $\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = r$ (i.e. that $\lambda_r > 0$). Then*

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\mathbf{m} = (-1)^r z^{-r}\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_{-r}\mathbf{m}. \tag{16}$$

Notice that if $\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < r$, the right hand side of (16) is zero and then (16) fails to be true in general.

Proof. Taking $\mathbf{m} = [\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ we eventually arrive to the equality

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (b_{\lambda_r} - b_{\lambda_r - 1}z^{-1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (b_{r-1+\lambda_1} - b_{r-2+\lambda_1}z^{-1}), \tag{17}$$

by just imitating the first few steps of the proof of Lemma 3.4. In turn, the left hand side of (17) can be written as

$$(-1)^r z^{-r}(b_{\lambda_r - 1} - b_{\lambda_r}z) \wedge \cdots \wedge (b_{r-2+\lambda_1} - b_{r-1+\lambda_1}z) = (-1)^r z^{-r}\overline{\sigma}_+(z)b_{\lambda_r - 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{\sigma}_+(z)b_{\lambda_1 + r - 2}$$

i.e., using that $\overline{\sigma}_+(z) \in \mathrm{HS}(\bigwedge M_0)$:

$$= (-1)^r z^{-r}\overline{\sigma}_+(z)(b_{\lambda_r - 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r-1+\lambda_1}) = (-1)^r z^{-r}\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_{-r}[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},$$

where the last equality holds because of the hypothesis $\lambda_r > 0$. ∎

Recall Definition 2.7. The next easy lemma identifies the transpose $\sigma_+(z)^\mathsf{T}$ of $\sigma_+(z)$ relating it with the schubert derivations on $\bigwedge M_0^*$.

3.6 Lemma. *Let* $\sum_{j \geqslant 0} \sigma_j^\mathsf{T} z^{-j} := \sigma_-(z)^\mathsf{T} \in \mathrm{End}_A(\bigwedge M_0^*)$. *Then* $\sigma_{-j}^\mathsf{T}\beta_i = \beta_{i+j}$.

Proof. In fact, for all $k \geqslant 0$:

$$\sigma_{-j}^\mathsf{T}\beta_i(b_k) = \beta_i(\sigma_{-j}b_k) = \beta_i(b_{k-j}) = \delta_{i,k-j} = \delta_{i+j,k} = \beta_{i+j}(b_k)$$

which proves the claim. ∎

4 Proof of Theorem 0.1

The starting point is the following well–known criterion ([3, Section 4] or, in an infinite dimensional context, [19, Proposition 7.2]).

4.1 Proposition. *An element* $\mathbf{m} \in \bigwedge^r M_0$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{G}_r$ *if and only if the equality*

$$\sum_{i \geqslant 0} (b_i \wedge \mathbf{m}) \otimes (\beta_i \lrcorner \mathbf{m}) = 0 \tag{18}$$

holds in $\bigwedge^{r-1} M_0 \otimes \bigwedge^{r+1} M_0$.

Proof. See [12, Theorem 4.1.4].

Recall from Section 3.1 and Lemma 3.6 that

$$\sum_{i \geqslant 0} b_i z^i = \sigma_+(z)b_0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \beta_j z^{-j-1} = z^{-1}\sigma_-(z)^\mathsf{T}\beta_0.$$

Equation (18) can be rewritten, imitating [3, 19], in the equivalent form

$$\mathrm{Res}_z(\sigma_+(z)b_0 \wedge \mathbf{m}) \otimes (z^{-1}\sigma_-(z)^\mathsf{T}\beta_0) \lrcorner \mathbf{m}) = 0, \tag{19}$$

i.e. $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{G}_r$ if and only it satisfies equation (19). We have:

4.2 Proposition. *The following equality holds in* $\bigwedge^{r+1} M_0$:

$$\sigma_+(z)b_0 \wedge \mathbf{m} = (-1)^r z^r \sigma_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_r \mathbf{m}). \tag{20}$$

Proof. First of all

$$\sigma_+(z)b_0 \wedge \mathbf{m} = \sigma_+(z)\big(b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_+(z)\mathbf{m}\big), \tag{21}$$

because of integration by parts (11). Lemma 3.4 applied to $\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\mathbf{m}$ gives, after simplification:

$$b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_+(z)\mathbf{m} = (-1)^r z^r \overline{\sigma}_-(z)(b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_r\mathbf{m}).$$

Substituting in the last side of (21) gives (20), as desired. ∎

4.3 Proposition. *The following equality holds in* $\bigwedge^{r-1} M_0$*:*

$$(z^{-1}\sigma_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\beta_0)\lrcorner\mathbf{m} = (-1)^{r-1}z^{-r}\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0\lrcorner\sigma_-(z)\mathbf{m}). \tag{22}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \bigwedge^{r-1} M_0^*$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(z^{-1}\sigma_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\beta_0\lrcorner\mathbf{m}) &= z^{-1}(\sigma_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\beta_0 \wedge \gamma)\mathbf{m} && \text{(by definition (7))}\\
&= z^{-1}\sigma_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}(\beta_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\gamma)\mathbf{m} && \text{(integration by parts (11))}\\
&= z^{-1}(\beta_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\gamma)\sigma_-(z)\mathbf{m} && \text{(definition of } \sigma_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}})\\
&= z^{-1}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\gamma(\beta_0\lrcorner\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\mathbf{m}) && \text{(definition (11) of contraction)}\\
&= z^{-1}\gamma(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}(\beta_0\lrcorner\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\mathbf{m}) && \text{(definition of } \overline{\sigma}_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}})
\end{aligned}$$

whence the equality

$$z^{-1}\sigma_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\beta_0\lrcorner\mathbf{m} = z^{-1}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(\beta_0\lrcorner\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\mathbf{m}).$$

Notice now that $\beta_0\lrcorner\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\mathbf{m}$ is a linear combination of elements $[\mathbf{b}]^{r-1}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ associated to partitions of length exactly $r-1$. Thus we can apply Lemma 3.5 to get

$$z^{-1}\sigma_-(z)^{\mathsf{T}}\beta_0\lrcorner\mathbf{m} = z^{-1}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(\beta_0\lrcorner\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\mathbf{m}) = (-1)^{r-1}z^{-r}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0\lrcorner\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\mathbf{m}).$$ ∎

4.4 Substitution of expressions (22) and (21) into (19) concludes the proof of Theorem 0.1. ∎

5 The Grassmann Cone in a Polynomial Ring

5.1 Notation and convention as in Section 1. Let $\bigwedge^r M_r$ be the B_r-module structure on $\bigwedge^r M_0$ given by:

$$e_i[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} := \overline{\sigma}_i[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},$$

which turns $e_i \in B_r$ into an eigenvalue of $\overline{\sigma}_i$. Accordingly, we have

$$\overline{\sigma}_+(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = E_r(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_+(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} &= \sigma_+(z)E_r(z)\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} =\\
&= \sigma_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_+(z)(\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} z^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus h_i is the eigenvalue of σ_i seen as endomorphism of $\bigwedge^r M_r$, i.e. $\sigma_i[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = h_i[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. In particular, using (13) the homomorphism of abelian groups $\phi_r : B_r \to \bigwedge^r M_0$ given by

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) \mapsto \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)[\mathbf{b}]^r_0 = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_+(z))[\mathbf{b}]^r_0 = [\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \tag{23}$$

is an isomorphism, as it maps a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of B_r to a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\bigwedge^r M_0$.

5.2 Definition. *Let* $\sigma_-(z), \overline{\sigma}_-(z) : B_r \to B_r[z^{-1}]$ *be defined as:*

$$(\sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r))[\mathbf{b}]_0^r := \sigma_-(z)(\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)[\mathbf{b}]_0^r) = \sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r,$$

and

$$(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r))[\mathbf{b}]_0^r := \overline{\sigma}_-(z)(\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)[\mathbf{b}]_0^r) = \overline{\sigma}_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r.$$

The $\sigma_-(z)$-image of $h_n = \Delta_{(n)}(H_r)$ should in principle depend on the integer r. However this is not the case.

5.3 Proposition. *For all* $r \geqslant 1$*, the following equalities hold in the ring* $B_r[z^{-1}]$:

$$\sigma_-(z)h_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} h_{n-i}z^{-j} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_n = h_n - h_{n-1}z^{-1}. \tag{24}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_-(z)h_n)[\mathbf{b}]_0^r &= \sigma_-(z)(h_n[\mathbf{b}]_0^r) && \text{(definition of } \sigma_-(z)h_n\text{)}\\
&= \sigma_-(z)([\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \wedge b_{r-1+n})) && \text{(writing } [\mathbf{b}]_{(n)}^r \text{ as } [\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \wedge b_{r-1+n}\text{)}\\
&= \sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \wedge \sigma_-(z)b_{r-1+n} && \text{(since } \sigma_-(z) \in \mathsf{HS}(\textstyle\bigwedge M_0)\text{)}\\
&= \textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{r-1+n} \left([\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \wedge b_{r-1+n-j}z^{-j}\right) && \text{(apply (9) and the definition of } \sigma_-(z)\text{)}\\
&= (\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{n} h_{n-j}z^{-j})[\mathbf{b}]_0^r,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the first equality in (24). To prove the second equality of (24), we can argue either by observing that $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ is the inverse of $\sigma_-(z)$ or again by direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_n)[\mathbf{b}]_0^r &= \overline{\sigma}_-(z)(h_n[\mathbf{b}]_0^r) = \overline{\sigma}_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_n^r = \overline{\sigma}_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \wedge \overline{\sigma}_-(z)b_{r-1+n}\\
&= [\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \wedge (b_{r-1+n} - b_{r-1+n-1}z^{-1}) = (h_n - h_{n-1}z^{-1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^r.
\end{aligned}$$

■

5.4 Proposition. *We have:*

$$b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_r[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r = [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{r+1} = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r+1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r+1} \tag{25}$$

Proof. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_r[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r &= b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_r(b_{\lambda_r} \wedge b_{1+\lambda_{r-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{1+\lambda_r}) && \text{(definition of } [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r\text{)}\\
&= b_0 \wedge b_{1+\lambda_r} \wedge b_{2+\lambda_{r-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r+\lambda_r} && \text{(definition of } \overline{\sigma}_r\text{)}\\
&= [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{r+1} && \text{(definition of } [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{r+1}\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

In the B_{r+1}-module $\bigwedge^{r+1} M_r$ we have then equaliity (25) (due to $\phi_{r+1}(\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r+1}) = [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{r+1}$, by (23)). ■

In other words the expression of $\sigma_-(z)h_n$ and $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_n$ in the ring $B_r[z^{-1}]$ does not depend on the integer r.

5.5 To ease notation, let us agree to denote simply by $\mathsf{Res}(f)$ the coefficient of X^{-1} of a formal Laurent series $f \in \mathbb{Z}((X^{-1}))$. To prove Theorem 5.7 below, we need a powerful result due to Laksov and Thorup [22, Theorem 0.1.(2)]. Let us introduce a few new pieces of notation. Let

$$p_r(X) = X^r E_r\left(\frac{1}{X}\right) = X^r - e_1X^{r-1} + \cdots + (-1)^r e_r \in B_r[X]$$

be the generic polynomial of degree r. If $f := a_0X^\lambda + a_1X^{\lambda-1} + \cdots + a_n \in B_r[X]$ is any polynomial of degree $\leqslant \lambda$, then for all $i \geqslant 0$ an easy computation shows that

$$\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{X^{i-1}f(X)}{p_r(X)}\right) := \operatorname{Res}\frac{X^{i-1}f(X)}{X^r}\left(1 + \frac{h_1}{X} + \frac{h_2}{X^2} + \cdots\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} a_j h_{i-r+\lambda-j}. \tag{26}$$

In agreement with [22], the *residue* of $(f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{r-1}) \in B_r[X]^r$ is, by definition:

$$\operatorname{Res}(f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{r-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} \operatorname{Res}(f_0) & \operatorname{Res}(f_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Res}(f_{r-1}) \\ \operatorname{Res}(Xf_0) & \operatorname{Res}(Xf_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Res}(Xf_{r-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Res}(X^{r-1}f_0) & \operatorname{Res}(X^{r-1}f_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Res}(X^{r-1}f_{r-1}) \end{vmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

We shall use the following

5.6 Theorem ([22]). *Let $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{r-1} \in B_r[X]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & f_0(\sigma_1)b_0 \wedge f_1(\sigma_1)b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{r-1}(\sigma_1)b_0 \\ = \;& \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{f_{r-1}(X)}{p_r(X)}, \frac{f_{r-2}(X)}{p_r(X)}, \ldots, \frac{f_0(X)}{p_r(X)}\right) b_0 \wedge b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{r-1}. \\ = \;& \det\left(\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{X^{i-1}f_{r-j}(X)}{p_r(X)}\right)\right)_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant r}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

5.7 Theorem. *The operators $\sigma_-(z), \overline{\sigma}_-(z) : B_r \to B_r[z^{-1}]$ commute with taking Schur determinants, i.e.:*

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)H_r) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_r). \tag{29}$$

Proof. Let us prove the first of equalities (29). We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r))[\mathbf{b}]_0^r &= \overline{\sigma}_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r = \overline{\sigma}_-(z)b_{\lambda_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{\sigma}_-(z)b_{r-1+\lambda_1} \\ &= f_0(\overline{\sigma}_1)b_0 \wedge f_1(\sigma_1)b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{r-1}(\sigma_1)b_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i(\overline{\sigma}_1)$ stands for $f_i(X) = X^{\lambda_{r-i}} - X^{\lambda_{r-i}-1}z^{-1}$ evaluated at $X = \sigma_1$. By Theorem 5.6 and formula (26):

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\sigma_1)b_0 \wedge f_1(\sigma_1)b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{r-1}(\sigma_1)b_0 &= \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{f_{r-1}(X)}{p_r(X)}, \ldots, \frac{f_0(X)}{p_r(X)}\right) \\ &= \det(h_{\lambda_j-j+i} - h_{\lambda_j-j+i-1}z^{-1})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant r} \\ &= \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_r). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second equality of (29) we exploit the fact that $\sigma_-(z)$ and $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ are one inverse of the other. Then

$$\sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) = \sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\sigma_-(z)H_r) = \sigma_-(z)\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_r) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_r). \qquad \blacksquare$$

5.8 Lemma. *For all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r$ the following equality holds in $\bigwedge^{r-1} M_0$*

$$\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0 \lrcorner [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1}. \tag{30}$$

Proof. If $\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = r$ then $\lambda_r > 0$ and so $\beta_0 \lrcorner [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r = 0$. So, both sides of (30) vanish. If $\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < r$ instead, one may write

$$[\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r = b_0 \wedge [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(1^{r-1})}^{r-1},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + (1^{r-1}) = (\lambda_1 + 1, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1} + 1)$. Thus

$$\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0 \lrcorner (b_0 \wedge [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(1^{r-1})}^{r-1})) = \overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}([\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(1^{r-1})}^{r-1}) = [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{r-1} = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1}$$

as claimed. $\blacksquare$

5.9 Proposition. *The equality below holds in* $\bigwedge^{r-1} M_0$:

$$\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0 \lrcorner \sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]^{r-1}_0$$

Proof. If one defines $\Delta_{(n)}(\sigma_-(z)H_0)$ to be z^{-n}, the equality holds for $r = 1$. To check the formula in the remaining cases let us preliminary observe that $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_r)$ is a linear combination $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\subseteq\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(z^{-1})\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_r)$, whose coefficients $a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(z^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}[z^{-1}]$ do not depend on the chosen $r > 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0 \lrcorner \sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) &= \overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}\big(\beta_0 \lrcorner (\sigma_-(z)(\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)[\mathbf{b}]^r_0)\big) \\
&= \overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}\big(\beta_0 \lrcorner (\sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r))[\mathbf{b}]^r_0)\big) \\
&= \overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}\big(\beta_0 \lrcorner (\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_r)[\mathbf{b}]^r_0\big) \\
&= \overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}\beta_0 \lrcorner \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\subseteq\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(z^{-1})\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_r)[\mathbf{b}]^r_0 \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\subseteq\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(z^{-1}) \cdot \overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0 \lrcorner \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_r)[\mathbf{b}]^r_0) \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\subseteq\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(z^{-1}) \cdot \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]^{r-1}_0 = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]^{r-1}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

■

5.10 Let $\Gamma_r(z) : B_r \to B_{r+1}((z))$ and $\Gamma^*_r(z) : B_r \to B_{r-1}((z))$ defined by:

$$\Gamma_r(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) := \frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r+1}), \tag{31}$$

and

$$\Gamma^*_r(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) := E_{r-1}(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1}). \tag{32}$$

Expression (31) can be also written in the form

$$\Gamma_r(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) = \frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)H_{r+1}),$$

by virtue of Theorem 5.7, according which $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r+1}) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)H_{r+1})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r+1}$. Similarly, the equality $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1}) = \sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r-1})$ surely holds for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r-1}$. However, if $\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = r$, in general $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1}) \neq \sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r-1})$, because $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1}) \neq 0$ in spite of the vanishing of $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r-1})$. For example, if $r = 1$, $\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n = (1 - e_1 z)^{-1} = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} e_1^n z^n$, i.e. $h_n = h_1^n$. This implies

$$\Delta_{(1,1)}(H_1) = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & 1 \\ h_2 & h_1 \end{vmatrix} = h_1^2 - h_2 = h_1^2 - h_1^2 = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\Delta_{(1,1)}(\sigma_-(z)H_1)) = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 + \dfrac{1}{z} & 1 \\ h_2 + \dfrac{h_1}{z} + \dfrac{1}{z^2} & h_1 + \dfrac{1}{z} \end{vmatrix} = \left(h_1 + \frac{1}{z}\right)^2 - h_2 - \frac{h_1}{z} - \frac{1}{z^2} = \frac{h_1}{z} \neq 0.$$

5.11 Proof of Theorem 0.2. According to Theorem 0.1, it follows that $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in \mathcal{G}_r$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_r} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\overline{\sigma}_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0 \lrcorner \sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]^{r-1}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \sigma_+(z)\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(b_0 \wedge \overline{\sigma}_r[\mathbf{b}]^{r+1}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \tag{33}$$

vanishes in $(\bigwedge^r M_{r-1}\otimes\bigwedge^r M_{r+1})((z))$. Since $\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0\lrcorner\sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1})\in\bigwedge^{r-1}M_{r-1}$, it is an eigenvector of $\overline{\sigma}_+(z)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $E_{r-1}(z)$. Similarly, $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(b_0\wedge\overline{\sigma}_{-r}[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$ belongs to $\bigwedge^{r+1}M_0$, which is an eigenmodule of $\sigma_+(z)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $1/E_{r+1}(z)$. Thus, expression (33) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathrm{Res}_z\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_r} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}E_{r-1}(z)(\overline{\sigma}_{-r+1}(\beta_0\lrcorner\sigma_-(z)[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})\otimes\frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)(b_0\wedge\overline{\sigma}_r[\mathbf{b}]^{r+1}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_z\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_r} E_{r-1}(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1}\otimes\frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_{r+1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r+1} \\
&= \left(\mathrm{Res}_z\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_r} E_{r-1}(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1}\otimes\frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_{r+1})\right)[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1}\otimes[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r+1},
\end{aligned}$$

where in last equality we used Propositions 5.4 and 5.9. Let us now identify the tensor product $B_{r-1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}B_{r+1}$ with the polynomial ring in $2r$ indeterminates:

$$B_{r-1}\otimes B_{r+1}=\mathbb{Z}[e'_1,\dots,e'_{r-1},e''_1,\dots,e''_{r+1}].$$

Since $[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1}\otimes[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r+1}$ is a basis of $\bigwedge^{r-1}M_{r-1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\bigwedge^{r+1}M_{r+1}$ over $B_{r-1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}B_{r+1}$ and using the definitions (31) and (32) of $\Gamma_r(z)$ and $\Gamma_r^*(z)$, we obtain (5). ∎

5.12 Let $E'_{r-1}(z)=1-e'_1z+\cdots+(-1)^{r-1}e'_{r-1}z^r\in B'_{r-1}[z]$ and $E''_{r+1}(z)=1-e''_1z+\ldots+(-1)^{r+1}e''_{r+1}z^{r+1}\in B'_{r+1}[z]$. Similarly, let

$$H'_{r-1}(z)=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}h'_nz^n\qquad\text{and}\qquad H''_{r-1}(z)=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}h''_nz^n$$

be the inverses of $E'_{r-1}(z)$ and $E''_{r+1}(z)$ in $B'_{r-1}[[z]]\cong B_{r+1}[[z]]$ and $B''_{r+1}[[z]]$ respectively. Then formula (5) reads

$$\mathrm{Res}_z\frac{E'_{r-1}(z)}{E''_{r+1}(z)}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H'_{r-1})\cdot\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H''_{r+1})=0. \tag{34}$$

6 An Example

To show how formula (34) works, in this section we proceed to determine all the polynomials

$$p(H_2)=a_0+a_1h_1+a_2h_2+a_{11}\Delta_{(11)}(H_2)+a_{21}\Delta_{(21)}(H_2)+a_{22}\Delta_{(22)}(H_2)\in B_2. \tag{35}$$

such that $p(H_2)[\mathbf{b}]_0^2$ belongs to the Grassmann cone $\mathcal{G}_2$ of $\bigwedge^2M_0$. Useless to say, we expect to find the same expression of the Klein quadric hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^5$. To this purpose we need a few preliminaries to speed up computations, which by the way could be carried out directly.

6.1 Consider the two sub-modules $\sigma_nM_0:=\bigoplus_{i\geqslant 0}\mathbb{Z}\cdot b_{n+i}$ and $M_{0,n}:=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}b_i$ of $\bigwedge^rM_0$. They fits in the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\sigma_nM_0\longrightarrow M_0\xrightarrow{t_n}M_{0,n}\longrightarrow 0,$$

where t_n is the truncation which maps b_i to itself if $0\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$ and to zero otherwise. Hence $\bigwedge^rM_{0,n}$ is the submodule $\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}}\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of $\bigwedge^rM_0$. It can be seen itself as the epimorphic image of the truncation $t_n^r:\bigwedge^rM_0\to\bigwedge^rM_{0,n}$ which maps $[\mathbf{b}]^r_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ to itself if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ and to 0 otherwise. Again, we have an exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\sigma_nM_0\wedge\bigwedge^{r-1}M_0\longrightarrow\bigwedge^rM_0\longrightarrow\bigwedge^rM_{0,n}\longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\sigma_n M_0 \wedge \bigwedge^{r-1} M_0$ is the submodule of $\bigwedge^r M_0$ generated by all $b_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge b_{i_r} \in \bigwedge^r M_0$ such that $i_j \geqslant n$ for at least one $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$.

Let $I_{r,n}$ be the kernel of the composition $t_n^r \circ \phi_r : B_r \to \bigwedge^r M_{0,n}$, where $\phi_r : B_r \to \bigwedge^r M_0$ is the isomorphism (23). It is a simple exercise to check that $h_{n-r+1+j} \in I_{r,n}$ for all $j \geqslant 0$. Indeed, a simple argument (see [15, Chapter 5] or [11] for details) shows that $I_{r,n} = (h_{n+r-1}, \ldots, h_n)$. Let

$$\pi_n : B_r \longrightarrow B_{r,n} := \frac{\mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_r]}{(h_{n-r+1}, \ldots, h_n)}$$

be the canonical projection. Then $B_{r,n} = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r,n})$, where by $H_{r,n}$ we have denoted the sequence $(h_j + I_{r,n})_{j \geqslant 0}$ of $B_{r,n}$ and then $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r,n}) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) + I_{r,n}$. Clearly, $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_{r,n})[\mathbf{b}]_0^r = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)[\mathbf{b}]_0^r$ if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$. Define $\sigma_{-i}(h_j + I_{r,n}) = \sigma_j h_n + I_{r,n}$ (resp. $\overline{\sigma}_{-i}(h_j + I_{r,n}) = \overline{\sigma}_j h_n + I_{r,n}$). Then Theorem 0.2 has the following

6.2 Corollary. *A tensor $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r$ is decomposable if and only if*

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} E_{r-1}(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H_{r-1,n}) \otimes \frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\overline{\sigma}_-(z) H_{r+1,n}) = 0$$

in the tensor product $(B_{r-1,n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B_{r+1,n})((z))$.

Proof. In fact by Theorem 0.2, $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r \in \mathcal{G}_r$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_r} E_{r-1}(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H_{r-1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \otimes \frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)} \overline{\sigma}_-(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_{r+1})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r+1} \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_r} E_{r-1}(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H_{r-1,n})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r-1} \otimes \frac{1}{E_{r+1}(z)} \overline{\sigma}_-(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_{r+1,n})[\mathbf{b}]_0^{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Moreover formula (34) and Corollary 6.2 easily imply:

6.3 Corollary. *The tensor $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} [\mathbf{b}]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^r \in \bigwedge^r M_0$ is decomposable if and only if*

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \frac{E'_{r-1}(z)}{E''_{r+1}(z)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H'_{r-1,n}) \cdot \overline{\sigma}_-(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H''_{r+1,n}) = 0.$$

■

6.4 Going back to our original purpose of finding all $p(H_2) \in B_2$ such that $p(H_2)[\mathbf{b}]_0^2 \in \mathcal{G}_2$, where $p(H_2)$ is like in (35). We use the B_2-module structure of $\bigwedge^2 M_2 := B_2 \otimes \bigwedge^2 M_0$. Since $p(H_2)[\mathbf{b}]_0^2 \in \bigwedge^2 M_{2,4}$, the B_2-module structure of $\bigwedge^2 M_2$, factorizes through that of $B_{2,4}$, i.e. $p(H_2)[\mathbf{b}]_0^2 = p(H_{2,4})[\mathbf{b}]_0^2$. Then we have

$$B_{1,4} := \frac{B_1}{(h_4)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(x^4)}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad B_{3,4} := \frac{B_3}{(h_2, h_3, h_4)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[y]}{(y^4)},$$

where $x = e_1 + (h_4)$ and $y = e_1 + (h_2, h_3, h_4)$. In particular, $h_i + (h_4) = x^i$ and $h_1 + (h_2, h_3, h_4) = y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma_-(z) H_{1,4}) &= a_0 + a_1 \sigma_-(z) h_1 + a_2 \sigma_-(z) h_2 + a_{11} \Delta_{(11)}(\sigma_-(z) H_1) \\ &+ a_{21} \Delta_{(21)}(\sigma_-(z) H_1) + \Delta_{(22)}(\sigma_-(z) H_1) + I_{1,4} \\ &= a_0 + a_1(x + z^{-1}) + a_2(x^2 + xz^{-1} + z^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2^*(z) p(H_{2,4}) &= E_1(z) \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H_{1,4})) + I_{1,4} \\ &= \frac{1 - xz}{z^2} \left(a_0 + a_1 \left(x + \frac{1}{z} \right) + a_2 \left(x^2 + \frac{x}{z} + \frac{1}{z^2} \right) + \right. \\ &+ \left. a_{11} \frac{x}{z} + a_{21} \left(\frac{x}{z^2} + \frac{x^2}{z} \right) + a_{22} \frac{x^2}{z^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} p(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)H_{3,4}) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{2,4}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\overline{\sigma}_-(z)H_{3,4}) \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{2,4}} a_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}\det(h_{\lambda_j-j+i-1}-h_{\lambda_j-j+i}z^{-1})+I_{3,4} \end{aligned}$$

and so the equality

$$\Gamma_2(z)p(H_{2,4}) = \frac{z^2}{E_3(z)}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{2,4}} a_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}\det(h_{\lambda_j-j+i-1}-h_{\lambda_j-j+i}z^{-1})+I_{3,4} \tag{36}$$

holds in $B_{3,4}((z))$. Since

$$\frac{1}{E_3(z)}+I_{3,4} = 1+h_1z+I_{3,4} = 1+yz,$$

formula (36) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(z)p(H_{2,4}) &= z^2(1+yz)\left[a_0+a_1\left(y-\frac{1}{z}\right)-a_2\frac{y}{z}+a_{11}\left(y^2-\frac{y}{z}+\frac{1}{z^2}\right)\right. \\ &+ \left. a_{21}\left(\frac{y}{z^2}-\frac{y^2}{z}\right)+a_{22}\frac{y^2}{z^2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

A few computations, carried out by means of the CoCoA software [1], eventually tell

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Res}_z(\Gamma_2(z)p(H_{2,4}))\cdot(\Gamma_2^*(z)p(H_{2,4})) &= (-a_{11}a_2+a_1a_{21}-a_0a_{22})x^3+(a_{11}a_2-a_1a_{21}+a_0a_{22})x^2y \\ &- (a_{11}a_2-a_1a_{21}+a_0a_{22})xy^2+(a_{11}a_2-a_1a_{21}+a_0a_{22})y^3 \\ &= (a_{11}a_2-a_1a_{21}+a_0a_{22})(y^3-y^2x+x^2y-x^3). \end{aligned}$$

So, the latter expression is identically zero if and only if the following Plücker equation holds:

$$a_{11}a_2-a_1a_{21}+a_0a_{22}=0. \tag{37}$$

7 The KP Hierarchy

7.1 Proposition. *Let $r\geqslant 1$ be fixed. Then for all $(i_1,\dots,i_r)\in\mathbb{N}^r$, the equalities below holds in B_r:*

$$\sigma_-(z)(h_{i_1}\cdots h_{i_r}) = \sigma_-(z)h_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_-(z)h_{i_r} \quad\text{and}\quad \overline{\sigma}_-(z)(h_{i_1}\cdots h_{i_r}) = \overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_{i_1}\cdots\overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_{i_r}. \tag{38}$$

Proof. Let us begin by checking the first of (38). Since $(\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)\,|\,\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_r)$ is a basis of B_r, each product of the form $h_{i_1}\cdots h_{i_r}$ is a linear combination $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)$. Therefore

$$\sigma_-(z)(h_{i_1}\cdots h_{i_r}) = \sigma_-(z)(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_r),$$

where last equality is due to Theorem 5.7. In other words:

$$\sigma_-(z)(h_{i_1}\cdots h_{i_r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathcal{P}_{r,n}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\det(\sigma_-(z)h_{\lambda_j-j+i}) = \sigma_-(z)h_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_-(z)h_{i_r}.$$

The proof for $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ is evidently analogous. ∎

7.2 Let B_∞ be the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \ldots]$ in infinitely many indeterminates $(e_1, e_2, \ldots)$. It is the projective limit of the rings B_r in the category of graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras, in the following sense. For all $s > r$ there are obvious projection maps $(B_s)_w \to (B_r)_w$, defined by $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_s) \mapsto \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_r)$ if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_r$ and to 0 otherwise, for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_s$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| = w$. Let $(B_\infty)_w := \varprojlim (B_r)_w$. Clearly, for all $w \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $(B_\infty)_w = (B_r)_w$. The ring B_∞ is by definition the direct sum $\bigoplus_{w \geqslant 0}(B_r)_w$. Let $E_\infty(z) = 1 - e_1 z + e_2 z^2 + \cdots$ and $\sum_{n \geqslant 0} h_n z^n = (E_\infty(z))^{-1} \in B_\infty[[z]]$. In this case $(h_1, h_2, \ldots)$ are algebraically independent and so

$$B_\infty := \mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \ldots]$$

as well.

7.3 Corollary. *The maps $\sigma_-(z), \overline{\sigma}_-(z) : B_\infty \to B_\infty[z^{-1}]$ are ring homomorphisms and are one inverse of the other, when regarded as elements of $(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B_\infty))[z^{-1}]$.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary product in $h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_s} \in B_\infty$ and let $w = i_1 + \cdots + i_s$. There exists a sufficiently large $r > \max\{w, s\}$ such that $(B_\infty)_w = (B_r)_w$. For such a choice of r, we have

$$\sigma_-(z)(h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_s}) = \sigma_-(z)h_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_-(z)h_{i_s} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\sigma}_-(z)(h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_s}) = \overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_{i_1} \cdots \overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_{i_s}$$

by virtue of Proposition 7.1. ∎

7.4 Proof of Corollary 0.3. Each $p \in B_\infty$ is a finite linear combination $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_\infty)$, where $\mathcal{P} := \cup_{r \geqslant 1} \mathcal{P}_r$ is the set of all the partitions. Then, by Theorem 0.2, $\phi_\infty(p)$ corresponds to a decomposable tensor if and only if

$$\mathrm{Res}_z \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}} a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} E_\infty(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z) H_\infty) \otimes \frac{1}{E_\infty(z)} \overline{\sigma}_-(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_\infty) = 0. \tag{39}$$

Corollary 7.3 implies the commutation $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\sigma_-(z)H_\infty) = \sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_\infty)$, for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}$. Thus (39) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{Res}_z \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}} E_\infty(z) a_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \sigma_-(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_\infty) \otimes \frac{1}{E_\infty(z)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}} a_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \overline{\sigma}_-(z) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(H_\infty) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_z E_\infty(z) \sigma_-(z) p \otimes \frac{1}{E_\infty(z)} \overline{\sigma}_-(z) p. \end{aligned}$$

∎

7.5 Let $B := B_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and define the sequence $\mathbf{X} := (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ through the equality

$$\sum_{n \geqslant 0} h_n z^n = \exp\Big(\sum_{i \geqslant 1} x_i z^i\Big),$$

holding in $B[[z]]$, in such a way that each h_n can be regarded as a function of $(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$. Standard calculations show that h_n is a polynomial expression of $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, homogeneous of degree n with respect to the weight graduation of B_∞ (for which h_n and x_n have degree n). We have, easily:

7.6 Lemma. *The following equalities hold in the ring B for all $j \geqslant 1$ and $n \geqslant 0$:*

$$\frac{\partial^j h_n}{\partial x_1^j} = \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial x_j} = h_{n-j}. \tag{40}$$

Proof. For all $j \geqslant 1$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \sum_{n \geqslant 0} h_n z^n = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial x_j} z^n = z^j \exp\Big(\sum_{j \geqslant 1} x_j z^j\Big) = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} h_n z^{m+j}.$$

Comparing the coefficient of z^n in the first and last side gives

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial x_j} = h_{n-j}.$$

In particular $\partial h_n/\partial x_1 = h_{n-1}$. Iterating j-times $\partial/\partial x_1$ gives (40), as desired. ∎

Let

$$\Gamma_\infty(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_\infty) := \frac{1}{E_\infty(z)}\overline{\sigma}_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_\infty) \in B_\infty((z))$$

and

$$\Gamma^*_\infty(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_\infty) := E_\infty(z)\sigma_-(z)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(H_\infty) \in B_\infty((z)).$$

Define $\Gamma(z), \Gamma^*(z)$ to be, respectively, $\Gamma_\infty(z)\otimes 1_{\mathbb{Q}} : B \to B((z))$ and $\Gamma^*_\infty(z)\otimes 1_{\mathbb{Q}} : B \to B((z))$. The proof of Corollary 0.4 is an immediate consequence of the following:

7.7 Theorem. *We have:*

$$\Gamma(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{i\geqslant 1} x_i z^i\right)\exp\left(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{iz^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) \tag{41}$$

and

$$\Gamma^*(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1} x_i z^i\right)\exp\left(\sum_{i\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{iz^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right). \tag{42}$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{1}{E_\infty(z)} = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n = \exp(\sum_{i\geqslant 1} x_i z^i)$$

it follows that $E_\infty(z) = \exp(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1} x_i z^i)$ and then the first factors involved on the left hand side of (41) and (42) are explained. Let us now observe that:

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_n = h_n - \frac{h_{n-1}}{z} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)h_n.$$

Evaluating the well–known identity $1 - t = \exp\left(-\sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{t^n}{n}\right)$ at $t = z^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, and using (40), we have

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z)h_n = \exp\left(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x_1^i}\right)h_n = \exp\left(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)h_n. \tag{43}$$

Now, we observe that

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) : B \to B[z^{-1}]$$

is a ring homomorphism, because it is the exponential of the first order differential operator

$$-\sum_{i\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{z^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Thus

$$\overline{\sigma}_-(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right),$$

because both sides are ring homomorphisms and they coincide on h_n, for all $n \geqslant 0$, which generate B as a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. The proof that

$$\sigma_-(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{i\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)$$

is similar, but arguing that $\sigma_-(z)$ is the inverse of $\overline{\sigma}_-(z)$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)[z^{-1}]$ turns it easier. ∎

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to S. G. Chiossi, A. Ricolfi and I. Scherbak for useful comments and corrections and C. Araujo, M. Jardim and P. Piccione for unreserved support. Work partially supported by PRIN "Geometria sulle Varietà Algebriche", GNSAGA-INDAM. The secondo author was sponsored by FAPESP, Proc. 2015/04513-8.

References

[1] J. Abbott, A. M. Bigatti, G. Lagorio, *CoCoA–5: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra*, http://cocoa.dima.unige.it

[2] A. Alexandrov, V. Kazakov, S. Leurent, Z. Tsuboi, A. Zabrodin, *Classical tau-function for quantum spin chains*, Journal of High Energy Physics (September 2013) 2013:64 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2013)064

[3] E. Arbarello, *Sketches of KdV*, Symposium in Honor of C. H. Clemens (Salt Lake City, UT, 2000), Contemp. Math., **312**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, 9–69

[4] R. Bott, L. W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, GTM **82**, Springer (1982)

[5] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *"Operators approach to the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Transformation groups for soliton equations III" J. Phys. Soc. Japan **50** (1981) 3806–3812

[6] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Transformation groups for soliton equations. VI. KP hierarchies of orthogonal and symplectic type*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **50** (1981) 3813–3818

[7] V. Enolski, J. Harnard, *Schur function expansion of Kadomtsev-Petviashvili τ-functions associated with algebraic curves*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 66, no. 4 (400) (2011) 137–178; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **66** no. 4 (2011) 767–807

[8] E. Frenkel, D. B. Zvi, *Vertex Algebra and Algebraic Curves*, Second Edition, Mathematical Survey and Monographs **88** AMS (2004)

[9] S. Galkin, V. Golyshev, H. Iritani, *Gamma Classes and Quantum Cohomology of Fano manifolds: Gamma Conjectures*, arXiv:1404.6407v1

[10] L. Gatto, *Schubert Calculus via Hasse–Schmidt Derivations*, Asian J. Math. **9**, No. 3, 315–322, (2005)

[11] L. Gatto, *Schubert Calculus: An Algebraic Introduction*, Publicações Matemáticas do IMPA, 25º Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2005. (Available at: http://www.impa.br/opencms/pt/biblioteca/cbm/25CBM/25CBM_10.pdf)

[12] L. Gatto, *Linear Recurrent Sequences and Related Topics*, Publicações Matemáticas do IMPA, 30º Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2015. (Available at: http://www.impa.br/opencms/pt/biblioteca/cbm/30CBM/30CBM_05.pdf)

[13] I. Frenkel, I. Penkov, V. Serganova, *A categorification of the boson–fermion correspondence via representation theory of* $\mathfrak{sl}(\infty)$, arXiv:1405.7553

[14] L. Gatto, P. Salehyan, *The boson–fermion correspondence from linear ODEs*, J. of Algebra **415** (2014) 162–183, doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.05.030

[15] L. Gatto, P. Salehyan, *Hasse–Schmidt Derivations on a Grassmann Algebras* (with applications to Vertex Operators), Springer IMPA Monographs, ISBN 978-3-319-31842-4, 2016 (to appear)

[16] V. Golyshev, L. Manivel, *Quantum Cohomology and The Satake Isomorphism*, arXiv: 1106.3120

[17] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, *Solitons and Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **19** (1983) 943–1001

[18] N. Jing, N. Rozhkovskaya, *Vertex Operators Arising From Jacobi–Trudy Identities*, arXiv: 1411.4725v2

[19] V. G. Kac, A. K. Raina, N. Rozhkovskaya, *Highest Weight Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol. **29** Second Edition, World Scientific (2013)

[20] A. Kasman, *Glimpses on soliton Theory* (The Algebra and Geometry of Nonlinear PDEs), SML **54** AMS (2010)

[21] M. E. Kazarian, S. K. Lando, *An algebro-geometric proof of Witten's conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 4, 1079–1089

[22] D. Laksov, A. Thorup, *A Determinantal Formula for the Exterior Powers of the Polynomial Ring*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56**, No. 2 (2007), 825–845

[23] D. Laksov, A. Thorup, *Schubert Calculus on Grassmannians and Exterior Products*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **58** No. 1, 2009, 283–300

[24] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Second Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995

[25] M. Mulase, *Algebraic theory of the KP equations*, Perspectives in Mathematical Physics, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Math. Phys., **III**, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 151–217, 1994

[26] M. Mulase, *Matrix integrals and integrable systems*, Topology, geometry and field theory, 111–127, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994

[27] A. Pressley, G. Segal, *Loop groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1986)

[28] M. Sato, *Soliton Equations as Dynamical Systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann Manifolds*, RIMS Kokioroku **439** (1981) 30–46

[29] M. Sato, *The KP Hierarchy and Infinite-Dimensional Grassmann Manifolds*, In Theta Functions, Bowdoin 1987, L. Ehrenpreis, R. C. Gunning Eds, Part 1, 51–66, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. **49** (1989)

[30] G. Segal, G. Wilson, *Loop Groups and Equations of KdV Type*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. **61** (1985) 5–65

E-mail address: letterio.gatto@polito.it, parham@ibilce.unesp.br

Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

IBILCE-UNESP, Campus De São José do Rio Preto, Brazil